

PSJ3

Exhibit 405



www.dea.gov

Washington, D.C. 20537

JAN 30 2008

IN THE MATTER OF

Cardinal Health
13651 Dublin Court
Stafford, Texas 77477

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

PURSUANT to Sections 303 and 304 of the Controlled Substances Act, Title 21, United States Code, Sections 823 and 824,

NOTICE is hereby given to afford Cardinal Health (“Registrant”) an opportunity to show cause before the Drug Enforcement Administration (“DEA”), at DEA Headquarters located at 600 Army Navy Drive, Arlington, Virginia, at a place and time to be determined, (if Registrant requests such a hearing), as to why DEA should not revoke DEA Certificate of Registration, RC0333524, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 824(a)(4), and deny any pending applications for renewal or modification of such registration pursuant to 21 U.S.C. §§ 823(b) and (e), because Registrant’s continued registration is inconsistent with the public interest. DEA Certificate of Registration RC0333524 is assigned to Cardinal Health’s Stafford, Texas Distribution Center. The basis for this Order to Show Cause is set forth in the following non-exhaustive summary of facts.

1. Registrant is registered with DEA as a distributor in Schedules II-V under DEA number RC0333524 at 13651 Dublin Court, Stafford, Texas 77477. DEA number RC0333524 will expire on May 31, 2008.
2. Registrant distributed massive amounts of particular controlled substances to retail pharmacy customers without maintaining adequate controls to detect and prevent the diversion of controlled substances. For example, from January 2007 through September 2007, Registrant distributed nearly 21 million dosage units of hydrocodone to its retail pharmacy customers. Despite distributing such a large quantity of hydrocodone – a highly addictive and widely abused schedule III controlled substance – Registrant did not have sufficient policies and procedures in place to detect and prevent diversion; did not execute those policies and procedures that were in effect; and failed to provide its employees with the necessary training and resources to detect and prevent diversion.
3. Registrant’s distributions of controlled substances to Richmond Pharmacy, AK Pharmacy, and others, were under circumstances that clearly indicated that the pharmacies were engaged in the widespread diversion of controlled substances.

4. Notwithstanding the large quantities of controlled substances ordered by these pharmacies, Registrant failed to conduct meaningful due diligence to ensure that the controlled substances were not diverted into other than legitimate channels. Moreover, Registrant continued to supply the pharmacies with controlled substances without conducting due diligence, notwithstanding that the pharmacies were ordering controlled substances in quantities that far exceeded what traditional retail pharmacies order; that the pharmacies were ordering controlled substances on a more frequent basis than Registrant's traditional retail pharmacy customers; and that Registrant was supplying an inordinate amount of controlled substances versus non-controlled substances to these pharmacies.

5. The direct and foreseeable consequence of Registrant's failure to conduct appropriate due diligence was the likely diversion of millions of dosage units of particular controlled substances.

6. Despite Registrant's policy limiting a retail pharmacy customer's purchases of hydrocodone products to 800 dosage units a day, Registrant frequently distributed hydrocodone in quantities that greatly exceeded this limit. Registrant, however, rarely scrutinized these purchases, and in the few instances where Registrant investigated a particular order, it was frequently done by employees with little or no training in the prevention and detection of diversion and/or by employees with a direct financial interest in the successful completion of the transaction.

7. From January 2, 2007 through September 11, 2007, Registrant distributed approximately 1,381,500 dosage units of hydrocodone to Richmond Pharmacy ("Richmond"), or approximately 160,000 dosage units each month. During that period, Registrant distributed hydrocodone to Richmond on 142 days. On each of those days, Richmond's purchase of hydrocodone exceeded the daily limit set by Registrant for its retail pharmacy customers. More recently, on each of the eight days in September on which Registrant shipped hydrocodone to Richmond, Richmond grossly exceeded Registrant's threshold of hydrocodone distributions without scrutiny by Registrant's employees. Registrant distributed 66,000 dosage units of hydrocodone to Richmond on September 4, 2007; 6,000 dosage units on September 5, 2007; 12,000 dosage units on September 6, 2007; 18,000 dosage units on September 7, 2007; 48,000 dosage units on September 10, 2007; 24,000 dosage units on September 11, 2007; and 12,000 dosage units on September 12, 2007. Additionally, on September 17, 2007, Registrant shipped 12,000 dosage units of hydrocodone to Richmond, despite having been notified on September 14, 2007, that Richmond surrendered its DEA registration on September 13, 2007, and was no longer authorized to order or dispense controlled substances.

8. Registrant likewise failed to scrutinize the ordering practices of other retail pharmacy customers who exceeded their monthly limit of hydrocodone purchases and other controlled substances, and continued to distribute massive amounts of controlled substances to these customers despite the fact that these customers routinely exceeded, by huge margins, their monthly limit for purchases of particular controlled substances.

THE following procedures are available to Registrant in this matter:

1. Within 30 days after the date of receipt of this Order to Show Cause Registrant may file with the Deputy Administrator of the DEA a written request for a hearing in the form set forth in 21 C.F.R. § 1316.47. (*See* 21 C.F.R. § 1301.43(a)). If Registrant fails to file such a request, the hearing shall be cancelled in accordance with paragraph 3, below.
2. Within 30 days after the date of receipt of this Order to Show Cause, Registrant may file with the Deputy Administrator a waiver of hearing together with a written statement regarding Registrant's respective positions on the matters of fact and law involved. (*See* 21 C.F.R. §1301.43(c)).
3. Should Registrant decline to file a request for a hearing or, should Registrant request a hearing and then fail to appear at the designated hearing, Registrant shall be deemed to have waived the right to a hearing and the Deputy Administrator may cancel such hearing, and may enter her final order in this matter without a hearing and based upon the investigative file and the record of this proceeding as it may then appear. (*See* 21 C.F.R. §§ 1301.43(d), 1301.43(e)).

Correspondence concerning this matter, including requests referenced in paragraphs 1 and 2 above, should be addressed to the Hearing Clerk, Office of Administrative Law Judges, Drug Enforcement Administration, Washington, D.C. 20537. Matters are deemed filed upon receipt by the Hearing Clerk. (*See* 21 C.F.R. § 1316.45).



Joseph T. Rannazzisi
Deputy Assistant Administrator
Office of Diversion Control

cc: Hearing Clerk
Office of Administrative Law Judges